

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 07/11/2003 John C. Colvin 124-0002US-D 5385 10/618,499 EXAMINER 29855 7590 05/12/2005 WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI, TRAN, THAO T P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 20333 SH 249 SUITE 600 1711 HOUSTON, TX 77070

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005 ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/618,499	COLVIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thao T. Tran	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2005.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-15,17-34,37-39 and 41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15,17-34,37-39 and 41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,499 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This is in response to the Amendments filed 3/30/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-15, 17-34, 37-39, 41 are currently pending in this application. Claims 16, 35-36, 40, and 42-44 have been canceled.
- 3. In light of the newly found prior art, the finality of the rejection of the last Office action has been withdrawn and a *NEW REJECTION* is issued as follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. In view of the prior Office action of 12/14/2004, the rejection of claims 1-5, 15-23, 33-40, 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Diehr et al. (US Pat. 3,870,665), has been withdrawn in light of the Amendments made thereto and newly found prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-15, 17-34, and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diehr et al. (US Pat. 3,870,665), in view of Mente et al. (US Pat. 6,458,238).

In regards to claims 1-5, 17-23, 33-34, and 37-41, Diehr teaches a sheet or board for building purposes, comprising a lignocellulosic material, impregnated with an organic polyisocyanate (see abstract; col. 1, ln. 6-27). The lignocellulosic material used can be chipboard, fiberboard, wood, or straw (see col. 1, ln. 32-34; col. 4, ln. 46-51). The isocyanate is diphenylmethane diisocyanate (methylene diphenyl diisocyanate) (see Example 1). Diehr further teaches the surface of the board to be smooth (see Example 8).

Diehr further teaches the lignocellulosic material containing 7% moisture content before impregnation of polyisocyanate (see Examples 9-10). However, Diehr does not teach the use of lignocellulosic material containing about 0.1 to 2.5%.

Mente teaches lignocellulosic articles, wherein the lignocellulosic material contains a moisture content of 2-15% weight for the binder resin to be efficient at forming the article (see abstract; col. 4, ln. 34-41). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have employed the lignocellulosic material having the moisture content, as taught by Mente, in the making of Diehr's sheet or board, for the purpose of enhancing the efficacy of the forming the article.

Although Diehr is silent with respect to a low-gloss surface or that the impregnated lignocellulosic material is substantially non-conductive, since the reference teaches the same product containing the same chemical constituents, the board of the reference would inherently have the same properties, such as low-gloss surface and substantially non-conductive, as the presently claimed invention.

With respect to process limitations, such as how the lignocellulosic material is being formed or how impregnation is carried out, it has been within the skill in the art that process limitations would have no significant patentable weight when a product claim is being considered. See MPEP 2113.

In regards to claims 6-14 and 24-32, Diehr teaches the lignocellulosic material to be used in building purposes such as veneer, sheets, and the like (see col. 1, ln. 6-21). Hence, although the reference does not specifically teach the material to be used as construction components as recited in the instant claims, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, that these structures would have been variations in the use of the lignocellulosic material.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. In light of newly found prior art, the objection of claim 41 has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15, 17-34, 37-39, and 41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,499

Art Unit: 1711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt May 6, 2005

THAOT.TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5